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Reviewer's report:

Please include all comments for the authors in this box rather than uploading your report as an attachment. Please only upload as attachments annotated versions of manuscripts, graphs, supporting materials or other aspects of your report which cannot be included in a text format.

Please overwrite this text when adding your comments to the authors.

The manuscript showed the therapeutic effect of apatinib. The authors conclude that the treatment of patients with advanced malignant tumors with standard treatment failure can still achieve better results. The better the patient's physical state and the lower the degree of tumor progression, the better the therapeutic effect of apatinib. The manuscript should be revised, correct some points and also clarify the importance of this experimental drug, once the conclusion showed only what is expected during regular treatments.

Specific comments:

1- The two VEGFR-2 mediates two signaling pathways is not clear. Please, clarify this sentence.

2- Could the authors explain this sentence: " The ECOG score was 1 point in 14 cases (34.1%), 2 points in 21 cases (51.2%), and 3 points in 6 cases (14.6%). The transfer site was <3 cases in 24 cases (58.5%), and ≥3 cases in 17 cases (41.5%)."

3- Some numbers and percentages are written differently, please, correct them.

4- It is not right to start a sentence with Arabic number, correct them.

5- Tables should be better organized. Title should be justified. The values should be centralized. There is no table legend, please insert it.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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