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Author’s response to reviews:

Editor Comments:

Please copyedit your manuscript to improve the standard of written English. We suggest that you ask a native English-speaking colleague to help you with this. In addition, please go over your manuscript text and ensure that it is written in original language. It currently has an unacceptable overlap in text with a number of previously published sources, including, but not limited to:

https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007%2Fs10787-018-0446-4


Even if any of these publications are your own work, you must present your current study in original language.

Response:
This comment is highly appreciated. In order to address the editor concern the manuscript was edited by the native English speaker from the American journal expert (www.aje.com). The manuscript is thoroughly evaluated for the text and to avoid any overlapping with already published articles. The manuscript various portion were rearranged or completely modified as per recommendation.

Comment:

Finally, we note that you had stated in your response that the temperature the animals were subjected to was 50C, but in your manuscript text it is 55C.

Response:

The editor is highly appreciated at this moment. The changes were corrected in the revised manuscript (page no 7) as per reviewer recommendation.

Reviewer 2 (Reviewer 3): ADDITIONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS: I, however, would like to suggest authors provide essential information (e.g. animal strain) and carefully review their experimental detail (e.g. changing the temperature from 55oC to 50oc) before submitting their manuscript. When authors provided references for their rebuttal, please also provide highlights from each reference that are in support of their data.

Response:

The reviewer is highly appreciated at this moment. The strain of the mice used in the current study was male albino (BALB/c) and also mentioned in the section “materials and methods” (animals portion) in the revised manuscript (page no 5). The temperature of the hot plate was used 50±0.5 0C and was written mistakenly in the manuscript and was replaced as per recommendation in the revised format. Furthermore, the author is highly thankful to the reviewer for the valuable suggestion regarding the provision of the references and highlighting the portion of interest/concern in support of their data.