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It was a pleasure to review this interesting article

Title A complete and lengthy title

In vitro activities of daptomycin in combination with other antimicrobial agents against MRSA strains with a daptomycin MIC value of 1 mg/L determined by checkerboard Analysis

May be shortened as below:

A study on combination of daptomycin with selected antimicrobial agents: In vitro synergistic effect of MIC value of 1 mg/L against MRSA strains

**Abstract**

The background should highlight on the current knowledge of clinical updates of the treatment using daptomycin in combination with fosfomycin and why this study is conducted.

**Background**

To add content or references with regards to following

1. Definition of MRSA nosocomial infection
2. Current treatment guidelines for MRSA for both locally and internationally

**Materials and method**

Fair / Additional statistic application/ description is preferred
Table and images
Fair

Result
Promising findings

Discussion
To add the additional or added value from the result that may change the current practice.

Conclusions
This combination (daptomycin and fosfomycin) is already known to be an effective treatment as compared to monotherapy.

Reference
To follow journal guides for author

Others
English & grammar have to be proof read thoroughly to make the article more comprehensible.

This study is publishable. Thank you

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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