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Author’s response to reviews:

Dear Editor, dear Editorial team,

Thank you very much for reviewing our manuscript again. On behalf of my co-authors I would like to resubmit the above named manuscript to "BMC Pharmacology & Toxicology". Following your advice, we have carefully revised the manuscript. Below please find our response to your comment.

Sincerely,

Philipp S. Lange, MD
#1: Thank you for your euthanasia statement, however we need more details. Please specify the exact dose and type of anaesthesia used. Please also specifically state if the method of euthanasia was anaesthetic overdose, or some other means.

Response: We have specified the exact dose and type of anesthesia. In addition, the method of euthanasia was explained in detail. Page 5, line 8 (Methods/Langendorff System) states now: "Following treatment with Entinostat (or DMSO, respectively) and rapid stimulation (or sham, respectively), animals were euthanized by anesthetic overdose (thiopental 100 mg/kg body weight i.v.) followed by cutting the cervical vessels. After removal of the pacemaker electrode, a thoracotomy was performed and the spontaneously beating heart was retrieved."