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BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology

Dear editors.

Please find revised manuscript entitled “Effect of CYP3 A4, CYP3 A5 and ABCB1 gene polymorphisms on the clinical efficacy of tacrolimus in the treatment of nephrotic syndrome” that we would like you to consider for publication in BMC Pharmacology and Toxicology as original paper.

This manuscript ID was PHAT-D-17-00164. We thank you for your efforts for the paper review, and the reviewers for their positive comments and constructive suggestions, which have substantially improved the manuscript. We have made point-by-point responses to reviewer comments raised in your letter. These changes will not influence the content and framework of
the paper. We earnestly appreciate the editors and reviewers’ hard work, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Once again, thank you so much for your comments and suggestions.

Thank you for your consideration.

With best wishes,

Yours sincerely

Xin Gao

Department of Nephrology

The 88th Hospital of Nephrology, Hongmen Road 6, Taian, 271000, PR China

Email: lm89551@163.com

Tel: 86 538 8839274

Fax: 86 538 8839699

Editor Comments:

Authors thank the reviewer for these comments and constructive suggestions. We would like to answer the questions you raised one by one below. We have numbered each point as comment 1 (C1), response 1 (R1), and so on.
C1 : Clean manuscript:

At this stage, please upload your manuscript as a single, final, clean version that does not contain any tracked changes, comments, highlights, strikethroughs or text in different colours. All relevant tables/figures/additional files should also be clean versions. Figures (and additional files) should remain uploaded as separate files.

R1: We thank you for reminding us very much. We have modified and uploaded all the files according to the relevant requirements.

C2 : Abbreviations:

Please provide a list of all the abbreviations used in the manuscript. This list should be placed just before the Declarations section. All abbreviations should still be defined in the text at first use.

R2: We really appreciate the reviewer’s point. We have listed all abbreviations used in the manuscript and it has been placed before the declaration section. The details can be seen in Abbreviations section, line 37-43, page 17.

C3 : Ethics Approval:

In the Declarations, please include the full name of the ethics committee (and the institute to which it belongs to) that approved the study and the committee’s reference number if appropriate.

R3: We thank you for reminding us very much. We have made a revision. The details can be seen in Declarations section, line 54, page 17.

C4 : Tables:
We generally ask for tables to be submitted as separate files as this ends up being easier for production to handle. As a separate file this should ensure table formatting is not affected by other formatting changes within the manuscript.

R4: We thank the reviewer for this point very much. We have been modified according to relevant requirements. The details can be seen in Table 1-5.

C5: Copy-edit:

I'm afraid the quality of the English used throughout your manuscript does not currently meet our requirements. We recommend that you ask a native English speaking colleague to help you copy-edit the paper.

R5: We thank you for your suggestion. A native English speaker has help me to revise the article.