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Reviewer's report:

The authors conducted a meta-analysis of head-to-head comparisons of Prasugrel and Ticagrelor. It is an interesting topic. Here are some concerns.

(1) ~500 is small for meta-analysis.

(2) It is not clear to me, I suggest the authors to add more discussion of why comparison of Prasugrel and Ticagrelor is clinically important. They have different mechanisms? They target different patients? One is more efficacious or safer than the other.

(3) I suggest to add some discussion among Prasugrel, Ticagrelor and clopidogrel.

(4) Even though some endpoint were only measured in one out of four studies, it would still be interesting to discuss some of them.

(5) Is it possible to adjust/or stratify by T2DM?
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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