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Reviewer's report:

Hello,

This is a very detailed manuscript. I would like to know a few concepts a little more clearly.

1. What all was included in intellectual disability? Can you mention all the diagnosis etc or give a number according to ICD Code. Without a clear definition it has an element of uncertainty to it. Since this is your cohort i think defining it correctly will help

2. General population is mentioned as gPop without prior abbreviation (especially in abstract)

3. The title "Methods" in line 21 should be "Aims". Keep one primary aim and the rest as secondary Aims

4. Create a new heading "Methodology". you should shift lines 222-230 in methodology section

5. Expand LSS

6. Lines 130-134 are a repetition of lines 71-76

7. Lines 211-221 are strengths and limitations of the study. May be they can be shifted to the end of discussion.

8. Results section can be cut down. One can lose focus easily

**Are the methods appropriate and well described?**
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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