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Reviewer's report:

Good abstract and introduction which are well written.

Some minor grammatical errors just need correcting prior to publication - following examples

No comma required in page 3 line 2 - replace with - In cancer patients the focus
looks like an extra space in line 9 between panel integrating - but this could be the 'justified text'
Page 4 has W bolded on line 40
Full stop required after questionnaire on page 5 line 5
line 27 page 5 should read 'items produced' and further in the sentence change to 'scores'
Line 29 should read 'cancer patient's fear'
page 6 line 15 has a number 9 after distress - not sure why?

It states on line 22 page 6 '; weak negative correlations were expected between' - can you briefly state why you expected weak correlations?

line 29 you state correlations was (plural and then singular), so it should be correlation was or correlations were
line 29 apostrophe is before the s i.e survivor's age
line 31 correlation (not plural)
Line 58 - suggest re-write to (80.4%), breast cancer (37%) and gynecological cancers ......
page 8 line 1 and 2 - I know you have abbreviations at the end, but I always like to write the full words in the text when they are first mentioned, not just the acronym. This is something for the editor though.
page 9 line 36 - fear should be singular - same on line 47 and possibly line 56

Other than that, its a great piece of work and I wish you well in getting your work published.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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