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Reviewer's report:

PEER REVIEWER ASSESSMENTS:

OBJECTIVE - Full research articles: is there a clear objective that addresses one or several testable research questions? (Brief or other article types: is there a clear objective?)

Yes - there is a clear objective

DESIGN - Is the current approach (including controls and analysis protocols) appropriate for the objective?

Yes - the approach is appropriate

EXECUTION - Are the experiments and analyses performed with sufficient technical rigor to allow confidence in the results?

Yes - experiments and analyses were performed appropriately

STATISTICS - Is the use of statistics in the manuscript appropriate?

Not sure - I am not able to assess the statistics in this study

INTERPRETATION - Is the current interpretation/discussion of the results reasonable and not overstated?

Yes - the author's interpretation is reasonable

OVERALL MANUSCRIPT POTENTIAL - Has the author addressed your concerns sufficiently for you to now recommend the work as a technically sound contribution? If not, can further revisions be made to make the work technically sound?
Yes - current version is technically sound

PEER REVIEWER COMMENTS:

GENERAL COMMENTS: I served as a 2nd round reviewer, determining if the authors responded to first round reviewer. I noted the comments.

REQUESTED REVISIONS:

Interesting that diabetes wasn't included in chronic disease list

ADDITIONAL REQUESTS/SUGGESTIONS:

Interesting while Down's is considered a chronic disease --yet diabetes, a very common pediatric disease, is not included. In this, paragraph that reads: with acute lymphocytic leukemia the most frequent cancer, 7% (n = 31) had abnormal blood

311 flow due to a congenital heart defect, 4.7% (n = 21) had nephrotic syndrome, 4% (n = 4) had
It should read: with acute lymphocytic leukemia the most frequent cancer, 7% (n = 31) had abnormal blood

311 flow due to a congenital heart defect, 4.7% (n = 21) had nephrotic syndrome, 4% (n = 4) had

312 end-stage renal disease, 2.9% (n = 13) had asthma, 2.7% (n = 12) had tricuspid atresia, 2% (n 313 = 9) had Down syndrome, 0.9% (n = 4) had tetralogy of Fallot, 0.7% (n = 3) had HIV / AIDS, 314 0.7% (n = 3) had received an organ transplant, and 0.4% (n = 2) had cystic fibrosis.should read: 312 end-stage renal disease, 2.9% (n = 13) had asthma, 2.7% (n = 12) had tricuspid atresia, 2% (n 313 = 9) had Down syndrome, 0.9% (n = 4) had tetralogy of Fallot, 0.7% (n = 3) had HIV / AIDS, 314 0.7% (n = 3) had received an organ transplant, and 0.4% (n = 2) had cystic fibrosis.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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