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Reviewer's report:

-This article was written and organized well.

-Another limitation: your study did not differentiate or define what chronic diseases were included, or excluded. Please clearly define.

-Were your judges' evaluation of 3 criteria chosen a priori? Please explain.

-Why does one of your validation criteria in Table 2 include Suggestion, yet this was never described in text or defined? This also suggests to me that your criteria were not a priori.

-It's surprising that sex of caregiver was removed as a question, in addition to other variables. There's no discussion about why this was done, or what potential impact it may have on this or future studies who would use these recommendations. For example, perhaps time since diagnosis and duration of hospitalization are proxies for age of child. Or it could be that there is not enough variation in sex of caregiver.

-What about multiple caregivers per child?

-Table 3: There is F and p-value, but not all of these can be ANOVAs since age appears as continuous (would be a correlation r or linear regression t statistic).

-My greatest concern that must be addressed is that for your ANOVA results, none of these p-values tell us the results you are suggesting. For example, you state that "Finally, caregivers with a lower quality of life had lower levels of education (F =5.63, M = 83.63, p &lt; .001). This p-value demonstrates that there is a difference in mean health indicators (e.g. quality of life) between the levels of your variables (e.g. education), but without showing the results of the post-hoc multiple comparisons tests, the readers cannot assess if lower quality of life had lower levels of education, etc. Please comment on this.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

No

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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