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Review - Transition from University

This paper is a re-review with comments by two reviewers. The first reviewer asked for limitations, but this is not possible until the article is accepted for publication (this is a results-free paper).

The second reviewer identified a multitude of grammatical and typographical mistakes. All of the mistakes that have been identified have been fixed and the paper has been reviewed by an English-speaking writer.

A few additional typos escaped scrutiny:

Pg. 8, line 107:  The aims of the preset study (should be present)

Pg 9, line 124-125:  Suggest it be reworded as follows:  "The GHQ-12 is a widely used self-report instrument for measuring psychological distress and for screening non-psychotic mental disorders".

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.
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Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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Quality of written English
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