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Reviewer's report:

1. Unfortunately I cannot find Table 3 nor both figures, and so this review remains incomplete.

2. I had requested in a prior review that the german instrument be attached in an appendix but no appendix is visible. Perhaps I missed the authors’ response- could they please clarify?

3. Sometimes the authors refer to death acceptance, when they mean death avoidance, especially in the discussion.

4. Perhaps knowing how positive or negative prior death experiences were would help to improve the predictive power of that kind of factor.

5. The manuscript has improved over time in clarity but the organization and orderly presentation of information remains a problem. For example, it would be helpful if at the end of the introduction, the authors could clarify the study objectives and how these are to be achieved. When going into the Methods: Sample section, the paper refers quickly to the face validity sample, as opposed to the main study sample. However, to the reader, no mention has yet been made that there are two parts to the study, and what those parts are meant to do. I strongly encourage the authors to review the presentation of information to reduce confusion for their audience.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown? 
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review? 
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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