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Reviewer’s report:

The manuscript "Psychometric Properties of the Self-Report Version of The Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire in the Ecuadorian Context: An Evaluation of Three Models" is an up to date and interesting paper within the field of child assessment. In general, the paper is very interesting; it contains new scientific knowledge and provides comprehensive information for further development of this productive line of research; however, I have a few comments to make that should be addressed before I recommend this manuscript for publication to BMC psychology:

1. Add hypothesis of the present study at the end of introduction, and delete the specific models tested (move to data analyses)

2. Please, add some new and update references about mental health during adolescence. eg.,


3. Do you have any information about non-response? Describe inclusion/exclusion criteria if part of the data was excluded from the analysis. Were these criteria pre-established? Were outliers removed from the data? Which method did you use to deal with missing data in the analyses? What variables are related to missing data?

4. Please, add information about IRB and ethics committees. Was informed consent obtained from all subjects?

5. Please, test new dimensional models (like Ortuño et al. 2018, Goodman et al., 2010 or Boe et al. 2016).
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I am able to assess the statistics

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.
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