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Reviewer’s report:

This extract of manuscript describes the Psychometric analysis of the SDQ self report in a group of 1740 children and adolescents, including many immigrants. The section of the manuscript is very short and it is not easy to understand if the authors included other methodological issues to make the interpretation of the results clear and intelligible.

The Authors included only some analysis (Factorial and probably an ANOVA gender by age) in order to analyse the psychometric properties of the questionnaires.

There is no information about the Socio Economical Status of the sample. Moreover, an high quality study on psychometric properties of a questionnaire has to include results concerning: concurrent, inter-rater and test-retest reliability. According to the information reported in the section of the manuscript this data are not available.

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

No

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

No

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review
Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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