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Reviewer's report:

This article describes the validation process of the IMQ, Informed Choice in Mammography Screening Questionnaire.

It is a very long and complex manuscript and a flowchart describing and summarizing all the steps, and the number of subjects considered, could be very useful for less expert readers.

Some points need to be clarified before the final publication:

- It is important to have, as supplementary file, the final version of the questionnaire, items and related response choice, in English language. This could be a useful tool for the readers and for possible future utilizations.

- The gap between the time of data collection, 2013-2014, and the time of submission for publication should be justify by authors.

- The figure 3 reports N6: there is not correspondence with the table 1

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
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Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.
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Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.
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