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Reviewer’s report:

This qualitative study analyzes adjustment strategies of spinal cord injured men.

The paper is well written. Methods and Results are well presented.

I have only a few comments to make:

1. A major limitation of the study is that the sample included only men. While the authors briefly mention this in the discussion, I would like them to discuss this limitation in more detail. What do we know from the literature on resilience about potential gender differences? Could it be that women use different strategies of adjustment after SCI?

2. I believe that there is additional literature the authors could compare their findings with. For example:


Reinhardt, JD; Ruoranen, K, Graf, S; Horsewell, J; Leiulfsrud, A; Post, MWM: 'It takes two to tango ...' revisited: a qualitative study on integration and participation of people living with spinal cord injury in Switzerland, Disability & Society, 28:7, 893-907


Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Does the work include the necessary controls?**
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?**
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Yes

**Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?**
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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