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Reviewer's report:

I have reviewed the draft manuscript, without results or a discussion, titled, "Consequences of child emotional abuse, emotional neglect and exposure to intimate partner violence for eating disorders: A systematic critical review" by answering the three questions requested below:

1. Do the methods used allow the research question to be addressed appropriately?
   - Yes, the methodology adopted in this paper follows best practice guidelines for conducting a systematic review.

2. Does the methodology appear to have been applied correctly?
   - Yes, relevant information is presented to ensure confidence in the correct application of the methodology.

3. Is sufficient detail provided to assess the soundness of the methodology?
   - Overall, the answer to this question is yes. The omissions that may be considered relate to issues of definition that may vary across studies. How will these varying definitions be identified and accommodated? How will the theoretical models be picked up in the identification of the literature? Will there be some key words relating to potential theories? What is the rationale for excluding all non-journal articles such as dissertations?

Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes
Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

Not relevant to this manuscript

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
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4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.
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I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons
CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.
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