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Reviewer's report:

This is a clearly written introduction and methods section, and the methodology is suitable to meet the study aims.

There are some minor issues, which may improve the manuscript:

There may be other explanations to those cited in the introduction (e.g. does a larger glass size increase physical ability to take a larger sip? Does glass size alter the smell and taste of the wine?) Rationale for why the study focuses on satisfaction with quantity and pleasure from drinking rather than other explanations would be helpful.

Desire to drink more is only mentioned very briefly at the end of the introduction. Similarly, perceived intoxication and subjective craving are noted as measures in the methods section, but rationale is not given in the introduction.

'Satisfaction with perceived amount of wine' measure. The description of this measure is confusing - how were the answers to the first three questions combined with the answers to the five attributes?

Other queries:

The introduction (page 2, line 5 onwards) notes the importance of a person's perception of quantity. Do the results take this into account? (I can't assess this as only have the introduction and methods).

Does the discussion discuss the limitations of the average sip duration being a proxy for sip size? (again, I can't assess this as only have the introduction and methods).
Are the methods appropriate and well described?
If not, please specify what is required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Does the work include the necessary controls?
If not, please specify which controls are required in your comments to the authors.

Yes

Are the conclusions drawn adequately supported by the data shown?
If not, please explain in your comments to the authors.

Unable to assess

Are you able to assess any statistics in the manuscript or would you recommend an additional statistical review?
If an additional statistical review is recommended, please specify what aspects require further assessment in your comments to the editors.

I recommend additional statistical review

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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