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Reviewer's report:

Writing style should ideally match the intended audience - a newspaper article (general public) versus a research article aimed at an academic audience

Description of the electronic system - I still feel the dispersed nature of the service description makes it difficult to read - It is recommended that this is reviewed again.

Since the sites were de-identified, I assumed some organisational sensitivity - hence storage of data and safety are important aspects

'Service details of participants' - participants' responsibilities in the organisation, responsibilities within the new system etc.- answered for reviewer 2

Similarity in sentences - I agree that key information may need repetition - however, it does not mean one needs to use the exact same sentence - changes recommended

Aren't site specific issues (barriers/enablers) important when implementing the new service-especially when implementing in other sites in future?

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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