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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting paper that would be beneficial to publish. I certainly would cite it. There were just a few suggestion from me to help clarify the "health workers roles".

Page 9: A small paragraph explaining who the research team is would be beneficial. How many are in the team? Were there any First Nation people in the team if so please state this.

Page 10: Readers will probably want to know who the "Other" are

Page 10: "Types of healthcare workers included: registered nurses (8); licenced practical nurses (3); health managers (2); and other (3). Types of programs represented by participating healthcare workers included: administration (3); home care (6); community health"

It is not clear throughout the paper of who is conducting the assessments or the screening as you have grouped them under one heading "health workers" but the roles would be different and their access to records would also be different. It might help the reader if you were to name the workers as per their role.

Page 10: line 188 Is there supposed to be "of" in here?

Page 12: The first sentence needs an explanation on why there is limited screening for health workers. Which health workers are you referring to?
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