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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting study and the authors have collected a unique dataset among the oldest-old in the general population in Sweden. The paper is generally well written and structured.

This work presents interesting results pertaining to prevalence of good/poor SRH, and the relationships between SRH and various factors related to health and living conditions among the oldest-old. A few comments would be that "Health on equal terms" is carried out since 2004 but in the study how the sample frame is set or how individual weight is calculated is unclear. There isn't enough explanation of why only two counties were chosen as this survey is carried out in four counties in northern Sweden. Despite the unclarity of the dataset and the methodology the authors have carried out extensive statistical analysis highlighting factors such as physical activity, mobility, pain, and depression among the oldest-old population in Sweden. The general public as well as experts may also find this work to be of interest, especially those working in social welfare policy as well as healthcare professionals looking into the health needs of this population.
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