Reviewer's report

Title: Consumers’ Food Choices, Understanding and Perceptions in response to different Frontof- Pack Nutrition Labelling Systems in Belgium: Results from an online experimental study

Version: 0 Date: 20 Feb 2020

Reviewer: Axelle Hoge

Reviewer's report:

The manuscript is clearly written and well-structured. The paper contributes to the public health nutrition field by providing information on a topic for which there is no Belgian data. I have few suggestions to the authors:

Background
- Page 4, line 14: The paper of Egnell et al., 2018 does not appear in the References section. Could you please reference all the papers relating to the different aspects of the methods used (food choices, objective understanding and perceptions).

Methods
- Page 6, line 16: I suggest adding the following sentence: "The survey asked: "Assuming you were interested in purchasing this type of food, which food would you buy?"

Results
- Tables 2 and 3: These tables should include, as table legends, that the GDA label was used as the reference of the models.

Discussion
- Page 14, line 17-19: This limitation would not be appropriate, since other studies using the same methods have observed significant results. In addition, the perception is not dependent on the number of products/food categories provided. Please clarify.
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