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Reviewer's report:

The part « This qualitative study was done as content analysis. Qualitative studies are based on the naturalistic paradigm, in which the uniqueness of phenome is supported (15). The findings of a qualitative study are consequences of human experiences, and indeed it is emerged from interaction between researcher and participants" is useless. This part could be changed by the first sentence of the "participants" section: "The present qualitative study was conducted in 2017 with the aim of explaining the views of primiparous women on the type of delivery. Data collection lasted about three month from June to August 2017" which coincide more with the type of the study.

The limitations of the study could not be considered as real limitations. In the same way, having a good relationship between the researcher and participants could not be considered as a study's strength.
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