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Reviewer’s report:

Antenatal Care and Mothers' Education Improved Iron-Folic Acid Adherence at Denbiya District health centers, Northwest Ethiopia: Using pills count met

This study is important for improvement of Iron-folic Acid supplementation program in Ethiopia, but before publication consideration, the following comments should be addressed;

Background

Authors state that this study was conducted as there were inconsistent evidence on adherence of IFA supplementation among pregnant women, I think more justification should be added explaining why this study was conducted in Denbiya at this point in time despite of other similar published studies in Ethiopia.

The writing style in terms of tense should be consistent in the background section example, line 70 "In South India IFA compliance rate was 64.7%" vs line 72 to 73 "In Brazil, iron sulfate 73 adherence rate of pregnant women is 82%"

Discussion

Authors should remove results from the discussion section

Limitations

Authors should mention all possible factors that this study couldn't explore but may significantly influence the outcome of interest (adherence to IFA)

Level of interest

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Needs some language corrections before being published
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