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Reviewer's report:

I really appreciate the new version of the document, taking closely into account comments I formulated during first round of review.

Specially, the main message authors want to deliver is clearer and the general structure of the document is more logic.

Hereafter some comments in order to avoid some persistant repetition in the text and to obtain a punchier version:

- globally, I suggest to organize the structure of the paper in order to stick to the structure you have proposed in the response to reviewer 1 (from a to d).
- p2: lines 48-58: please change the sentences to avoid repetition (exactly the same sentences) between abstract and background
- p2: concerning following sentences:"the fragmented approch does not only miss opportunies but also underestimate the need of HL investisment in the light of future developments in public health and health care" --> I dont' understand the meaning of this phrase. From my point of view, there are two different ideas in this sentence and I don't see the link between first and second parts. COuld you make it clearer.
- p3:lines 4-28: I suggest to cut and paste this paragrah at the beginning of background section
- p3 and after: I suggest to add some subtitles. For example, before paragraph (line 31): problem's scope (or something like this)
- p3: lines 49-58: cut and paster after new heath trends. And make it merged with 1rst paragraph of conclusion
- p5: the second paragraph introduce the role of patient/citizen. Make it stronger because, I feel, it's a key point of your paper
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