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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

This manuscript reads well, but I believe it is not relevant for Archives of Public Health, because it does not seem to add to science in the field of public health. The commentary seems to be more relevant for policy makers, so I think it needs another platform.

Specific comments:

The aim of the commentary is to explore the need for a strategic view. But a clear description of this exploration is lacking. The (research-)questions are not clearly described in the introduction. There is no (theoretical or conceptual) underlying framework and it is unclear where the three future health trends are based on (e.g. literature, policy documents etc). The conclusion does not seem to be based on the three future health trends that are described. It is for example unclear to me where the conclusions 'health literate systems are needed' and 'the human and financial impact of health literacy call for a strategic view' are based on.
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