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Reviewer's report:

Dear colleagues,

The article has nicely improved. However, there are two major issues that would require further improvement.

a) The multivariate analysis has not considered potential interaction terms, as required in my previous revision.

b) Looking at the GOF value (hosmer-lemeshow test less than 50%), this is an indication that there are many non-observed or latent variables are affecting the use of post natal care. My strong suggestion is to include the kebeles or the units of care as factors in the model (as they are maybe capturing latent variables associated to PNC utilization, and in any case, provide an in-depth discussion (as part of the limitations) on what variables not considered in the analyses might have been associated to this small 0.46 goodness of fit.

I also suggest using supplementary materials to report on the exploration of collinearity and the modelling on potential interactions.

There are some few additional comments embedded in the pdf.
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