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Reviewer's report:

This is a nice review of the basic features of Coxiella burnetii, a description of ways that outbreaks have occurred, and some discussion of ways to prevent outbreaks. I have 2 comments:

1. The manuscript should be reviewed extensively for language issues. There are numerous unusual word choices and grammatical mistakes that make the manuscript a little difficult to read and understand.

2. A suggestion is to expand the last section on One Health a bit more (maybe with reduction of some of the more basic information). I think readers would be more interested in details of "one health" efforts in the Netherlands and specific accomplishments. Have there been policy changes? Have farmers cooperated willingly? Have there been efforts to reduce Coxiella in the environment? Et cetera.
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