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Reviewer's report:

1. Please mention novelty of this study.

2. There is a lot of repetition (eg ethical considerations) and certain sections can be amalgamated and shortened (eg methods)

3. Background (page 5)

Line 23 Is it 6-59 months?

4. Participants

Exclusion criteria are not clear. ie. If a child is having learning difficulties without deformities, taking anthropometric measurements is not difficult. The word mentally retarded is hardly used now.

5. Sampling techniques

Difficult to understand the sampling methods, suggest to put it in diagrammatic form.

6. Results

Table 4- Complementary feeding typically covers the 6-24 months according to WHO. Is it suitable to consider children beyond 24 months in to this categorization?

7. Discussion

Page 11, line 48 Reason for lower prevalence could be taking only one child from one family. Is it the same methodology employed to collect EDHS data?

8. Grammar and spelling mistakes need more attention
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