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Reviewer's report:

The abstract does not specify this is a systematic review protocol - please do this

Line 87 - I would not suggest referencing like this. Suggest updating

Line 130 - are these your protocols? You refer to them as if they are you protocols, but these look like actual guidelines to me - please clarify

The link to your prospero does not work - where is your registration?

Line 170 - what software?

I do not see the inclusion criteria for this scoping review?

What is the justification for not doing a full scoping review?

There seems to be a dominance/focus on the development of the survey. Systematic Reviews concerns itself predominantly with SLRs and I think the methods and rigor to this review needs more thought.

Have you considered possibly taking out the protocol for part 2?
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Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:
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