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General comments on this revised manuscript version: Protocol for Scoping Review (PSR), work content: This PSR: "Rehabilitation approaches for children living with HIV in sub-Saharan Africa: a protocol for scoping review" is an important HIV topic, with great implications on the evidence to be generated. There was a concern on this revised manuscript version: Ethics section: "The study is part of a PhD study in Health Sciences (Ethical clearance no. BFC386/17)". There is not a contact with the population under considerations in this PSR, no ethics clearance needed, I guess. In addition Initial comments were updated accordingly. Beside the minor error stated above manuscript revised version is ready for publication recommendation.

Final Comments: Appropriate systematic approach to the documentation of this PSR revised manuscript version, points made by reviewers on previous draft were addressed by authors, the current PSR revised version is in line with BMC Systematic Reviews guidelines of publishing this PSR revised version. Finally, it is recommended that this PSR revised version to be considered for sharing with the scientific community, but concern on ethic section need to be addressed. Hope editorial team share same logic with my recommendation.
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