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**General comments**

* This study provides overview of systematic reviews conducted complementary therapies in the treatment of infantile colic. The study summarized the studies so that the needy can use for decision making and has crucial importance in the field of study. However, the research methodology should be described in such way that other research could duplicate the result by following the same procedure in that there is no search terminology (keywords and syntax) presented in this study.

**Minor comments**

* Correct the second sentence of the abstract; delete the first 'was'. Revise the whole sentence

* Page 5 line 6; is that '5% and 20%' or '5% to 20%'?

* Page 6 lines 29-34; "Three were from the UK and Australia, two from Poland and one each from France, Mexico, Canada, Norway, Germany, Saudi Arabia, China and USA." Are these countries where the authors are based or else all the articles included in the systematic review are coming? The importance of the sentence is somewhat blurred.
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