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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting work and I enjoyed reading the article. I think this article should be published. I have got a couple of comments for the authors:

Comments:

1. Page 7, Line 142: As you haven't cross checked, it is a limitation. May be the authors just named the tool in their review and did not use it. Is it possible to check randomly (around 10%)?
2. Page 9, Line 183, Table 2: Is there any difference between "Cochrane ROB tool" and "Cochrane ROB 2 tool"? Same question for "Cochrane ROB tool" and "Cochrane handbook". Should you merge these during analysis?
3. Page 14, Line 301: Cochrane handbook has described tools for non-randomized trials and they have mentioned Down and Black and NOS as most useful tool based on a review.
4. Too much acronym sometimes distracted the reading
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