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**Reviewer's report:**

The authors provided clear responses to all my questions and improved the manuscript. Although I agree with another reviewer that it would be very interesting and relevant to include other factors that could predict memories of pain (such as distress during experience or pain at the moment of recall), I understand author's rationale to keep the review manageable. Clearly, more review papers on memories of pain are needed.

My only concern for the meta-analytic part relates to the questions concerning the type of pain. By removing diary studies, many studies which explored memory of pain among chronic pain patients will be excluded. This might lead to a situation, in which the investigated type of pain will be predominantly acute - either experimentally induced or naturally occurring (e.g. labor, surgery).

The authors should also add dairy studies as an exclusion to Table 1.

I wish to congratulate the authors on this endeavor, and I am looking forward to the results of this study.

**Level of interest**

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field that should be highlighted to relevant networks

**Quality of written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

**Declaration of competing interests**

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal

Were you mentored through this peer review?

No