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Reviewer's report:

Thank you for responding to my concerns, the protocol is much improved. I just have two outstanding concerns for your attention

1. The advantage of using the King's College London online library database remains unclear. You will have already captured much of the pertinent journal literature through the databases included, while a better source for books might be a national holdings library such as the British Library. Presumably the KCL e-library also only captures theses from its own university. Why not use a database such as Ethos to capture national theses?

https://ethos.bl.uk/Home.do;jsessionid=A53A03AE9F573F9303BF5F9E23833A1B

2. I disagree with the approach to exclude papers with a MMAT score of 25% or less. Studies should be excluded on the basis of not meeting inclusion/exclusion criteria, but quality shouldn't be a criterion. Rather the results of all studies should be considered alongside their quality. Excluding them risks creating a publication bias within the review itself.
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