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Reviewer's report:

A well written paper which outlines an important aspect of refugee research that is currently lacking. The authors have highlighted areas that require further research and investigation, which will be beneficial for other researchers to note when embarking on projects to fill these gaps in the literature.

I'm not sure if this paper was written with the sole purpose of suggesting a protocol for systematic review in this area, because there is no information provided on the findings of the systematic review or a discussion section with reference to the research questions. Is there a section missing in the manuscript or would there be a follow-up to this initial write-up?

Some additional comments:

- Line 90-93: 'Key attributes of a healthy school climate include that it is a safe place with clear rules, respects diversity, is assertive in preventing and responding to bullying, and fosters healthy relationships between students, teachers and parents.' - has this been recommended in previous literature, or is this proposed by the authors?

- The objectives and methodological parameters (inclusion and exclusion criteria) are very well defined. The authors have undertaken a very comprehensive process of data collection and analysis.

- Line 217: 'all non-English language papers will be identified and informally translated using an online platform' - it would be helpful to other researchers to provide further information on these platforms, and the quality of translation provided.

- Line 224 to 225: Is there information missing within the sentence?
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