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Reviewer's report:

This is an interesting manuscript. Congratulations to the authors.

Suggest that you provide more context on the current situation of contraception epidemiology in Canada, to support the need of this systematic review.

Suggest to limit your findings and recommendations to high income countries/Canada.

As mentioned in your title and methods you're only including studies in HIC.

Clearly state your inclusion/exclusion criteria, I found them to be unspecific

Women in reproductive age (provide age range)

Comparative observational studies (retrospective and prospective), and single or multicentre randomized controlled trials-evaluating what??

As it is the eligibility criteria list does not clearly state which articles are you including and which articles are you excluding.

Please notice that Cochrane has now a tool to evaluate risk of bias for non-randomized studies, suggest to provide justification to use Ottawa-Newcastle.

Level of interest

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article of importance in its field that should be highlighted to relevant networks

Quality of written English

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable
Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal

Were you mentored through this peer review?

No