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Title: Women's knowledge of obstetric Danger signs in Ethiopia (Women's KODE): a systematic review and meta-analysis

General comments on this systematic reviews update (SRU) work content: This SRU: "Women's knowledge of obstetric Danger signs in Ethiopia Women's KODE: a systematic review and meta-analysis": is an important topic on human beings reproductive health: on both women's knowledge and factors affecting pregnant women in Ethiopia (obstetric danger signs). The process of documenting this SRU was properly structured, and did follow properly BMC Systematic Reviews guidelines of producing this SRU, but very few issues are to be updated.

There were few errors, just to state few of them: author's details placements, in text referencing, and a point raised in the discussion section. An update on the point raised may provide an improved version of this SRU

Author section: Page: 23 Line: 4 to 5, please, did you really need to state authors titles?

Method: Page: 7 Line: 38 to 40 is it not better to place the in text referencing at the end of the sentence before the full stop punctuation mark.

Discussion Page: 23 line 56 to 58, please you stated :
"Furthermore, there was no nationally representative study, making our finding difficult to compare with national findings", please was it not possible if I understand you correctly to compare at least your current findings with the national findings as they do exist and you making reference to them.

Authors' information Page: 3 line 49 to 59 please this is a repetition of what have already been done well in Page: 3 line 4 to 30.

Final Comments: appropriate systematic approach to the documentation of this SRU, Finally, It is recommended that this SRU undergo a short improvement prior to the publication of this important pregnancy topic.
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