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Reviewer's report:

This is a well-written systematic review protocol that complies with the PRISMA-P checklist. Methods are appropriate and clearly explained and justified.

Minor comments:

1. Line 168: It would be helpful to explain or define in more detail what is meant by "an active control group, other than PA and SB" as a comparator.

2. Lines 182-184: This sentence suggests that only papers that are open access will be included, unless full texts can be obtained from authors. I assume that authors would have access to some other papers through their institution but this sentence needs clarifying if so. If not, and authors plan to only include open access papers, I would strongly recommend that they instead consider obtaining non-open access papers as well.

3. Data extraction: The information to be extracted could be listed in more detail.

4. Data extraction: It is not specified whether authors will be contacted for missing information during data extraction.

5. Quality assessment: The tool that will be used to assess the non-randomised controlled trials needs specifying.

6. Line 223: What is meant by "different intervention approaches" in this context?
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