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General comment

This is a well written manuscript, deserves publication. The paper underlines the importance of clearly defined research questions which needs to be regularly re-evaluated to maintain relevance. The manuscript provides a lesson for researchers in the field to be cautious when planning a review.

Minor comments

In methodology part the following things needs to be clarified

* It is not clear how 148 articles were retrieved and included in the study? Were they retrieved by systematic search? Were they only articles focusing on HIV from Cochran?

* Why only Cochran database were searched? What about other database?
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