Reviewer's report

Title: Implementation of self-management support in cancer care and normalization into routine practice: a systematic scoping literature review protocol

Version: 0 Date: 23 Oct 2018

Reviewer: Vito Carlo Alberto Caponio

Reviewer's report:

This protocol has been performed according to PRISMA-P checklist. Recently, they published a PRISMA Extension for Scoping Reviews (PRISMA-ScR): Checklist and Explanation. Please fill also a form for this checklist for the study design. I think that this could help in the way how to present data and results.

Anyway the protocol has been organized according to Arksey and O'Malley approach.

This protocol aim to evaluate the self-management support (SMS) into clinical practice.

I think this is a very important theme and the question reflects the aim.

All the different parts of the protocol are well established and analyzed. They finally reported a k-agreement analysis, which in many papers under review, is not reported.

Easy understanding and managing of data in the tables.

After this major revision, it will suitable for the publication.
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