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Reviewer's report:

Dear authors,

Thank you for the revision of the scoping review protocol (SYSR-D-17-00285 "Measurement instruments for quantifying physical resilience in aging: A scoping review protocol."). There are still some remarks.

Title:
No further remarks.

Abstract:
No remarks.

Keywords:
No further remarks.

Introduction:
No further remarks.

Methods:
Study design

Your motivation for a scoping review is still implicit in stead of explicit.

Search methods for study identification

You stated that not all databases were searched via Ovid. Please concretize, if database specific terms, e.g. specific subject headings (CINAHL) were used. If not, please describe why.

Inclusion/exclusion criteria; data extraction; data synthesis and gap identification
No further remarks.

Discussion:
No further remarks.

General remarks:
No further remarks.
**Level of interest**
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

**Quality of written English**
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

**Declaration of competing interests**
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

'I declare that I have no competing interests'

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.
I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal

Were you mentored through this peer review?

No