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Reviewer's report:

Thank you very much the manuscript. The topic is interesting.

The introduction is very long, I recommend abbreviating this section.

The focus of the scoping review should be described more precisely. The objectives for the review are described as "identify outcome domains and measurement instruments for physical resilience; synthesize and map the key concepts of physical resilience; and identify gaps and make recommendations for future research" (abstract/introduction)

However, in the method section, the use of 'statistics' is described "if multiple studies involve older adults with the same pathology, and outcome domains and measurement instruments". This does not fit with the objectives of the review and with the method of a scoping review that does not include a risk of bias assessment etc.

I recommend focusing on the description and mapping of the questions described in the abstract/introduction.
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