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Reviewer’s report:

As a reviewer of the previous version of this submission I find that the authors have satisfactorily addressed my concerns. I do note that both reviewers had the opinion that this work should perhaps be best considered not as two related, but distinct reviews. Indeed, I noted that in their own response to this issue the authors noted that "... outcomes the working group has decided on for the two separate reviews. A working group meeting was organized separately for each population ...", which implies they also consider this as two systematic reviews. I would strongly suggest the results are reported as distinct papers, given the differences in populations.
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