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Reviewer's report:

For review of the article I used the 2009 Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyzes (PRISMA).

My strategy is to follow the PRISMA items and make observations on aspects that have not been evaluated as satisfactory.

Here are the observations on each of the PRISMA items:

- In the title there is the identification of which is a systematic Review;
- In the abstract are all the items that are needed: background; objectives; data sources; study eligibility criteria, participants, and interventions; study appraisal and synthesis methods; results; limitations; conclusions and implications of key findings; systematic review registration number;
- Rationality is well written in the introduction and discusses the fundamental concepts;
- The purpose is clear in the Introduction, but a little more detail on the PICOS in the Introduction;
- Items 5 through 13 of the Method are excellent, however, item 14 (summary of results) can be better explained and made clear to readers.
- Items 15 and 16 of Method are excellent;
- Items 17 through 22 of the Results are excellent, however, item 23 can be improved and more detailed for a better understanding of the readers;
- The Discussion and Funding items are clear and excellent.
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