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Reviewer’s report:

Thank you for the opportunity to review this paper again. I am satisfied that the authors have addressed comments adequately. I agree with authors that this research has the potential to help shape future research around intergenerational connectivity and approaches taken in public health, aged care programs, technology design/development/instructional support for different populations, and other support for usage offered by government agencies, nonprofit organisations, libraries or schools.

A few followup comments below (none of which require further reply, but for consideration):

1. p. 2, line 38-39. This sentence is still rather awkward and could be rewritten slightly to get the idea across. Perhaps "Intergenerational connectivity may provide mutually beneficial opportunities that mitigate social pressures arising from aging populations." This tones down the certainty that the results of the scoping review will be beneficial. It is also not certain or "inherent" (as written) that connectivity mitigates social pressures. The scoping review may provide more evidence or analysis in this space.

2. p. 3, line 42, "is not required" is doubled up, please remove one instance.

3. Referring to my prior comment #6, I understand the importance of keeping the review manageable. But as a scoping review generating knowledge about the topic, and looking for themes in the literature, I encourage your background/discussion sections of future manuscripts to allow for discussion of such innovation. Some important studies or articles might shed light on relevant themes, while not captured in academic literature.
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