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Reviewer’s report:

This protocol is improved but I still miss details on what kinds of studies you will include, particularly in regards to study design and outcomes. It seems to me the outcome 'building intergenerational connectivity' needs more clarification. Furthermore, given the broad inclusion criteria it will be helpful to the reader if it is clarified what kinds of studies you will include (ethnographies, focus group-based analysis, individual interviews, or evaluations?). You are now clearer in that this is an evidence map rather than a review - I would suggest changing the title giving that you are actually not going to review the papers, but only describe their content.

Tools mentioned in regards to critical appraisal need to be referenced.

There are currently two headings called 'Discussion'

Typos on lines 18/19 - missing 'that'

P3, lines 42/43 repeated phrase 'is not required'

Level of interest
Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript:

An article whose findings are important to those with closely related research interests

Quality of written English
Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript:

Acceptable

Declaration of competing interests
Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

1. Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?

2. Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
3. Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

4. Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

5. Do you have any other financial competing interests?

6. Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal