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Reviewer's report:

This is clearly a good scoping review to do for your research programme, and you have stated how you will go about doing it ticking the boxes for a systematic review. But of course, this is not going to be a systematic review, and so it might be that the method you are following is not the right one for your purpose. I am not clear why it is important to do this scoping review beyond informing your own programme. Considering how broad your scope is, there is some detail lacking in how you are going to select articles that you consider relevant to your interests. My experience of doing broad reviews is that it all seems very clear at the start, and then as soon as you start screening all sorts of questions pop up in regards to whether something is relevant or not. The protocol does not seem detailed enough to me in regards to the screening/study selection criteria to support a large review.
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