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Reviewer's report:

The topic of the proposed review is highly important and very relevant to stroke rehabilitation. Overall, the paper is well written and the review process is well designed and described.

I do have some comments that are listed below:

The references list contains some old references that should be updated especially when discussing statistics about stroke outcomes or rehabilitation. For example please update references 7 & 8, 13 & 14, 19 and 21 to a more recent references.

Overall the rationale is well written, however, it should be shortened since the points of stroke impact, the need for early rehabilitation and limitations of existing rehabilitation services could be summarized into 1-2 paragraphs. In addition, the authors should add more about the advantages of using technology such as tablets e.g. gaming effects.
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