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Author’s response to reviews:

Editor/Reviewer reports on 08/08/17:

There are a couple of minor issues I'd like the authors to address:

1. Throughout the manuscript, starting with the title, I'd like them to replace all instances of "systematic scoping review" with "scoping review".

2. The authors report a PROSPERO registration number. PROSPERO does not register scoping reviews. I suspect the authors originally called their review a systematic review and registered it as one. I'd like them to notify PROSPERO that their review is a scoping review and not a systematic review. As such the PROSPERO registration number should be deleted from the manuscript.

Response to Editor/Reviewer:

Thank you very much for your comments.

1. We have removed the term “systematic” from all instances prior to “scoping review” including in the title, abstract and main manuscript.

2. In response to the reviewer’s previous round of comments, we removed the PROSPERO registration from the manuscript to reflect the change in methodology from a systematic review to a scoping review. However shortly after submission we received an email from the editorial office informing us that the PROSPERO registration was missing and so needed to be inserted in the abstract to proceed with the review process.

We have now removed the PROSPERO registration from the entire manuscript in line with these recent comments. We contacted the PROSPERO team on July 27th to inform them of the change in methodology and requested the record be removed from the database.